

ESTABLISHED 1865.

NEWBERRY, S. C., TUESDAY, MARCH 18, 1902.

## TWICE A WEEK. \$1.50 A YEAR

## RURAL FREE DELIVERY.

HON, A. C. LATIMER'S SPEECH IN CON GRESS.

He Opposes the Bill Placing Rural Delivery Service Under the Contract System Delivered in the House of Representatives March 6th.

The House being in Committe, of the Whole, and having under considera-tion the bill (H. R. 11728) to classify the rural free-delivery service and fix the compensation to employees thereof Mr. Latimer said:

Mr. Chairman: During the ten years that I have been a member of this house, I have learned, in listening to the debates on the multitude of propositions that have been presented here, that there are gentlemen on this floor who can make a good argument on either side of a question.

I realize that there are two sides to most propositions which come up for discussion here. The varied interests and conditions of the country give rise to divergent views upon nearly every public question. But the argument and practical policy of the question before the house are so clearly on one side that I am at a the railway mail clerk, and the postloss to understand why there should to it.

The great advantages derived by the people from the rural free-delivery system, I take it, are not in question in this discussion. We are all agreed upon the merits of the system, and it is upon a question of administration only that we disagree.

made in favor of the bill placing the rural delivery service under the contract system are, first, that it will prove economical to the government | mail clerk, and postmasters a salary and will equalize the compensation of the carriers, and, second, that it will largely remove the service from political influences.

As to the first proposition, it is a remarkable fact that where service tem which has never given satisfacis to be rendered in the country or rural districts the question of economy is always predominant; but we economy? If economy is what we hear no complaint of the fact that in are after, let us be consistent; let us live for less, his expenses will be less, the cities the carriers of mail receive from \$600 to \$1,000 per annum for eight hours' service, nor do we hear the proponents of this bill complain ing that the number of carriers for the cities has been doubled in order order that the mail can be delivered forms in the postal service and stood, from four to ten times a day to a population living within easy reach the policies advocated by the postof the post office, the most remote being not more than half a mile away from the main post office or a substation, with street cars penetrating every section of the city, and beautifully paved streets to walk on in case exercise is desired. These highly favored fellow-citizens must have mail carriers who are exempt from the injurious contract system, lest the service be crippled, the question of economy not being consid ered; but it must be applied to the rural mail carrier, it matters not how much it will degrade and lower the standard of the service.

Why this discrimination in favor of the cities? Can it be successfully contended that the city can not be laid off in o routes as clearly defined as those in the country, or that the city service requires superior fitness or qualities not required in the rural carrier? There can be no force in the first proposition, and it is well known that the city carrier is not required to register letters, handle re ceipts for the same, or sell stamps and envelopes, all of which the rural carrier is required to do If you will examine the question you will find that the carrier on rural routes has much greater responsibilities than the carrier on the city routes. He is in fact a traveling post office, and if a discrimination is to be made it should be in his favor. Besides, the city carrier does not have to undergo the hardship of exposure to rain, sleet, snow, mud, and all kinds of weather. Many of the large buildings in New York and Chicago, as stated by the gentleman from Illinois, require four and five carriers to deliver the mail to the occupants. Is it to be contended that these carriers who ride on elevators in comfortable buildings shall be exempt from the contract system and allow- the advocates of the bill that the in naming the carriers, how can you South Carolina had unfitted him in

ed to draw from \$800 to \$1,000 per annum, while the rural carrier, with greater responsibilities and compelled to undergo exposure to all kinds of weather, is to be ground down to the lowest price which a competitive bid will force?

If economy is your object-and you contend that millions of dollars will be saved annually to the government by the contract system-why put the \$7,000,000 paid the rural carrier under the contract system, and at the same time squander over seventeen millions to the city carrier under the salary system? I will go further and say. If the contract system will result in as good service and a saving of millions in the rural free delivery service, why do you not frame a bill and pass it putting the Railway Mail Service and the postmasters throughout the country under the same economical system? You could easily have all applicants who pass an examination submit bids for the service by contract, and let it go to the lowest responsible bidder. Your answer that the law now pro-

vides for salaries to the city carrier, masters, and that you are not now be a difference of opinion in relation | dealing with that problem, is no answer. The postoffice and post roads committee has the right and power to frame a bill and present to this house providing for the repeal of existing law, and it is to be said that where inequalities exist, where a useless waste of public money can be prevented by a change in the law, The leading points that have been that this body which created the law is to stand in awe of an act because it protects a certain favored class and gives to the city carrier, railway system at a loss of millions to the government? Will you refuse to re peal the law, and at the same time strike down the rural carrier to a competitive bid basis, a contract systion to the people after a fair trial, upon the false and specious plea of commence at the beginning and carry it all along through the service of the government.

Mr. Chairman, the chairman of the committee [Mr. Lond] has opposed in the past many needed reas he stands today, in opposition to office department, as well as by many of the best informed men on postal matters in the country. I remember in the last congress when I offered an amendment to the postoffice bill, providing that all towns should have free delivery, he opposed the amendment, and it was ruled out on a point of order. I then appealed to him to report a bill, giving the house an opportunity to do justice to more than 20,000,000 of our people who live in towns without free delivery service. I stated to him that the cities above 10,000 inhabitants had free delivery and the country had rural delivery, and that the house ought to have an opportunity to do tardy justice to the towns; but he and his committee refused to take any steps in that di

rection. We tried last session to amend the star route service by requiring the contractor to live on the route, and in that way remedy the evil that existed in that service, but the amend ment was ruled out on a point of order made by the chairman of the committee, who was against the amendment. The postoffice department, however, realizing the miserable service that the rural districts had to endure by reason of the subcontracting system, issued an order to the effect that all new contracts in the star route service should be let to residents on the route. This reform, which was resisted by the chairman of the committee, has been endorsed by him today. So we may expect to been appointed upon my recomfind the honorable gentleman contending against the contract system one year from now. His recommend ation of this so called reform, there fore, loses much of its force and effect when viewed in the light of his

mistakes of the past.

lengtl. of the routes are not uniform; that the roads vary from level, macadamized, to hilly, mountainous, and muddy roads, and that, therefore, the contract system is the only just and equitable one. In reply to this contention, I will state what every member knows to be true, that on many of the worst roads to be traveled the anxious bidder, under the contract system, will, in his anxiety for the contract, do as has been done in the star-route service, submit a bid much below the amount paid for service over better roads and for less than the service will actually cost. It may be said that that is the contractor's fault. But that will not equalize the service: nor better it, surely. Must we have economy at the cost of total ruin and destruction of the service? Is such a proposition worthy of the name of economy? A better plan than that proposed by this bill would be to pay all carriers \$25 per mile, and a certain per cent additional in all cases where the

the condition of the road. This plan will pay the carrier on a route of 24 miles \$600, which is adequate, and will, in addition, guar antee good service in every commu nity. But I contend that the per cent for bad roads is not so necessary as would appear at first glance, for the reason that \$25 per mile will not, as a rule, be more compensation to the carrier who travels good roads than it will be to the carrier who travels rough roads. The opportu nities to make money and to save money will about equalize the difference in the condition of the roads; that is to say, the carrier who travels good roads, the community being populous, living will be higher and expenses greater, while, on the other hand, his opportunity to make a dollar will be greater; whereas the carrier on bad roads will be able to and his money will buy more in his thinly settled community.

roads to be traveled are of such na-

ture as to make the additional per

cent equitable, the route agent or

inspector being required to report on

As to the political phase of this proposition, I fail to see much difference between the two systems. The party in power can use either for political purposes, and if the Republican administration uses it we will have the same privilege when we come into power. I have never heard of any system, the civil service included, that could not be manipulated in the interest of the party in power. I remember discussing the civil service with an officer of the government who had taken charge of a bureau of one of the departhad a chief of division or a clerk in your bureau who was objectionable to you, what could you do under the rules of the civil service, while he it would be easy to abolish the office held by the objectionable person, and after he was out reestablish it.

I unhesitatingly state that if I was in charge of any department of this government, with the responsibility me, I would want amployes in full accord and harmony with me, and would certainly feel kindly, therefore, to the adherents of the party to which I belong. If it seems desirable, the party in power can manipulate the offices under any system. This we might as well concede now. The rural service under the salary system is about as free, and more so, perhaps, from political manipulation as any other service of the government. I desire to say that every app intee in my district, some se. ty-odd, who is engaged in delivering the mail on rural routes, has mendation, and every one of them, so far as I know, is a Democrat, and I presume those who will be appointed there to complete the service will be Democrats.

But you Democratic members in close districts, who have not been so Again, it has been contended by well treated, and have had no voice

improve that condition under the contract system? The special agent, high deliberative body, such as the under that system, will pass upon or examine the bids and recommend which bid shall be accepted, and the department will have the right of selection. Both plans, I believe, are equally capable of being used for political purposes, if so desired. The recent order requiring all applicants for carrier's position to stand an examination removes the service as far from political influence as is possible under any system.

Then the question is narrowed down to this one proposition-the best service at the lowest price, every thing being considered. I am, there fore, against this bill, and in my judgment we will accomplish the best results by passing the appropriation for the rural delivery service just as we do for the city delivery service, in a lump sum and without restriction, leaving to the depart ment the task of working out the de tails along lines suggested by ex perience and a wide knowledge of postal affairs. The department has developed the service to its present high state of usefulness to the general satisfaction of the country and a large majority of this house, and I have heard no sound reason for a change except upon the ground of economy and freedom from political influence, both of which arguments have been fully answered and left without force in this discussion.

Let me, in conclusion, appeal to the friends of rural free delivery to stand in solid phalanx against this bill, and every other proposition brought in here by the enemies of the service. This appeal is made on behalf of millions of our people who live on farms or follow other avocations away from the mail advantages of towns and cities. Before the estab lishment of rural delivery these peo ple rarely received mail more than once or twice a week, and were, con sequently, practically cut off from knowledge of and interest in the affairs of the world. Only those who have led the life of a farmer in the huly settled communities of this country can properly appreciate the great blessing that this service is to

the country people. I beg you not to cripple it by any false notions of economy. The farmer who was wont to labor in the fields day in and day out and go home at night to his frugal meal and lonely fireside, with nothing to relieve the monotony of existence save, perhaps, the occasional visit of a friend from the neighboring farm, is now taking a daily paper, which is delivered at his door, and after his work is over he reads it and keeps abreast of the times. He has thrown off the letharments. I said to him: "Suppose you gy of isolation and taken on the spirit of progress and improvement. He receives daily reports from the mar kets, and knows when and where to send his produce for sale; he introduperformed efficient service, to rid ces new methods in his work, which yourself of him?" He replied that reduces labor and expense; he diversifies his crops according to the demands of the market, and he takes a lively interest in the public questions of the day. He is, in short, an up-to-date American citizen, broadening and expanding in productive power and intellectual force, and it of good administration resting on is but just to say that the system of bringing to him his mail daily has been a great step in the accomplishment of this wonderful change. Let us not take from the country people the one boon that the government has given them directly; but let us foster it and improve it; so that it will meet the growing needs of the hour, and in building up the country people build up every other occupation and branch of industry.

> LETTER FROM SENATOR TILLMAN, He Explains His Remarks Made in His Apology to the Senate

> > Greenwood Journal, 11th.]

Senator Tillman has been severely criticised by the press and individuals from one end of the country to the other in reference to the language he used in his apology to the Senate. While his friends could not clearly understand his meaning when he said that having been governor of

a measure to conform to the rules of a Senate of the United States, they were confident that he could not have intended any reflection upon the office of governor of this State or its people. For this reason Mr. D. H. Magill wrote him to explain and the senator replied in the following let

United States Senate, Washington, D. C., March, 4, 1902. Hon. D. H. Magill, Greenwood, S. C.

Dear Sir: -- I have your kind let ter of March, 1st. In reference to the expression used by me in my apology to the Senate, that "My pre vious service as Governor of South Carolina for four years had unfitted me in a measure to enter this august assembly, with the dignity and re gard—proper regard—I will say, for its traditions and habits and rules that is desirable." I would say that the only reasonable construction to put on the words, and my meaning was that when I was governor it was my business to handle problems many of them very important and without consulting any one, and act entirely on my own responsibility and the habit of mind which natur ally resulted, from that manner of thought and action, and the work as executive, unfitted me in a measure for service here. None but a gan grened imagination, or some one anxious to misconstrue, will think that I meant that a governor of South Carolina can not be dignified and act with decency and courtesy on all occasions. Things are so different in the Senate that I have never yet become used to them.

While I am writing, I wish to say that my action here was a necessity and while I regret as much as any one that circumstances were of a na ture, so I had no slternative but to strike my colleague, I believe my ac tion meets with the approval of a large majority of the Democrats in the House and Senate. Not that they approve the giving of a blow in the Senate, but they think there was nothing else to do, and had 1 taken the lie my own self-respect would have been gone, and my service here in the future of no effect So then, I have but one comment to make in answer to newspaper

criticisms published in South Carolina. It does look hard, that when I am making the best fight I can against the Republicans here, and acting in the capacity of one of the fighters in the Senate, delegated by the Dem ocratic side to answer the strongest Republican, Senator Spooner, on a party question, that some of my own people, Democrats in reality or ap parently, should stab me in the back, while I am engaged all along the line in front by Republicans. I however de constrates the fact that there are some in South Carolina, who are anti Tillmanites, first and Democrats afterwards and will seize on any and every thing to give me a stab. We will let that pass though, and I will go back to my people feeling, as I have felt for a long while that I have the respect and support of a large majority of them and for those who are so narrow and prejudiced as to be unable to see any good in any thing that I do, I feel only contempt and pity.

The dinner incident was not of my making and in that I have no doubt as to the sentiment of the folks at home. The statement has been made that it was an official dinner. This is untrue because Pierpont Mor gan, Robt. Lincoln and ten or fifteen other private citizens were invited. The invitation to me came unsought. had no special desire to attend the function but before I had any notice whatever that it was desired that my acceptance be withdrawn the whole thing was ventilated in the morning papers, and I was thus notified publicly that the President was trying to punish a Senator, before the Sen ate had taken action. Had the Pres ident sent a mutual friend, in a quiet way suggesting that it would be an awkward situation, any man who knows me at all, knows how quickly I would have relieved him of his em barrassment.

Thanking you for your kind letter and with good wishes.

Yours sincerely, B. R. Tillman.

## IS IT WARREN'S BODY, ORIS IT A FAKE?

PARTLY DE OMPOSED CORPSE FOUND IN EDISTO RIVER.

Near Scene of Train Robbery - Enveloper and Weapons Indicate That it is the Bold Express Robber But Proof is Yet Lacking.

[Special to The State.] Orangeburg, March 14.-The ful

ly-dressed body of a white man was found today in the Edisto river below Branchville, one quarter of a mile from where the safe was recently left by robbers. On his person were found a number of addressed express envelopes and two money bags with money in them. Two pistols were buckled to the body and a cartridge belt with cartriages in it. Bartow Warren's friends who have seen the body say they think it is his.

THE DESCRIPTION.

The body described is that of a nan of a medium size, 5 feet 8 inches tall, fully dressed and well dressed The whole Lody is slightly decom posed, the face not being recogniza ble. The man had a full set of good teeth except that one of the upper front teeth is gold filled. He had a full beard. Of the express envelopes. one was addressed to J. Warren Stratton, Athens, Ga; one to D. M. Degolia, Augusta, Ga.; one to Booti

& Batman, Athens, Ga.; and there was some kind of package addressed to C. D. Dukes, Pregnall's, S. C. There were two pistols, one a Smith & Wes son, five inch barrell, the other a 38 calibre Colt's, six inch barrel. He had a cheap Ansonia watch. There were two money bags found in his pockets and each contained some money. This money was not de

The body was found by Cornelius Ott at Minus' landing, Colleton county. There is a difference of opinion as to the exact point where the body was found, whether it was below or above the spot where the safe was dumped into the river, but the best opinion is that it was one quarter of a mile below. Those who know Warren say that from the ar ticles found upon the body the indications are all that it is Bartow War ren. The teeth, they say, indicate that it is Bartow Warren.

A very close inspection of the body and papers has not yet been made, as it was pulled from the river on the Colleton side and left on the bank, where it will have to wait the arrival of the coroner of that county. There were no evidences of death from wounds of any kind. The dates on the postmarks of the letters and packages that could be disciphered was Jan. 27, the night of the holdup of the Southern train, and the taking away of the express safe.

> ANOTHER ACCOUNT. [Special to The State.]

Branchville, March 14.-The body of a man-supposed to be that of Bartow Warren-was found floating n Edisto river this morning about a quarter of a mile below where the express safe was thrown in the river some time ago.

The body is badly decomposed and ip to this time has not been posi tively identified.

There were a shell belt and two pistols buckled around the body. There were express envelopes in the man's pockets, but they have not been examined yet. The coroner of Colleton county has been notified and will probably hold the inquest

tomorrow morning. One eye and half of the side of the face are gone. The body measures 5 feet 8 inches; the shoe is number six. the hand resembles that of a woman. There is a gold filling in one tooth. A belt around the body contains two 38-calibre, 6-incb barrel pistols and he wore one cheap nickle watch, showing time 8:45. Blue cost and vest and dark check pants are the

On account of the decomposition of the body it could not be positively identified, but from what parties say it is almost certain that it is the body of Bartow Warren. If not Warren of one of the men connected with the may name him "McKinley."

express robberry near Fifty-eight on the night of January 27th. The inquest has not been held and a guard will be left with the body until the coroner arrives.

There are several express envel opes found on the body containing some money but the amount is not known.

The most reasonable theory ad vanced is that in crossing the river after dumping the safe, the robber, weighted by the heavy pistols and cartridges was drowned.

M'LAURIN ALONE FAVORS SUBSIDY.

test of South Carollea Delegation Opposes

[Special to Charleston Post.

Washington, March 14.-With one exception the entire South Carolina delegation are apposed to the subsidy bill. Friends of Senator Mc-Laurin say that he will probably, vote for the bill, but the senator has not taken the floor in the senate upon the measure. All the remainder of the delegation are opposed to the bill, and will so vote when the proper time comes. Senator Tillman has spoken against the bill, and some of the members of the delegation intend speaking against it when the bill reaches the house of representatives.

There is no doubt but the bill will go through the senate, and in all probability the vote of Senator Me-Laurin will be the only vote it receive from the Democrts-if the senator votes for it. Under the agreement given last week the vote will be taken Monday afternoon.

The Georgia and other Southern senators, are solid against the bill. Of the speeches which has been delivered thus far, those of Senator Clay, of Georgia, and Senator Mallory, of Florida, have been the most thorough, both senators having given the question the most thorough consideration. The speech of Senator Clay has been extensively commented upon by the Republican senators who have spoken in support of the bill. It is conceded by the Republicans that the speech of the Georgia senator was most exhaustive, and that the senator showed that he was thoroughly conversant with the question at issue.

## MARSHAL MELTON'S TERM.

Expired, But He Will Serve Until His Suc

The term of the United States Marshal Lawson D. Melton expired recently but according to the rule of the department, the incumbent will continual serve until his successor is appointed and qualities.

The law was formerly that the marshal was appointed for four years only, the term expiring on the date, but it was amended since Marshal Melton was appointed and he will consequently serve until his successor is appointed.

Col. Melton is a candidate for reappointment. A hard fight is however being made against him at Washington. A number of candidates are out for the place, as has been stated, and it remains to be seen to whom will fall the plum.

HOME FROM THE PHILIPPINES.

Mr. Allan Gray Returns to Columbia from Aparri.

Columbia, March 14.—Allan Gray, the gallant Columbia boy who was one of the first up San Juan hill, in Cuba, and has been since serving in the Philippines in the regular army, has returned to Columbia, having recently been nustered out of service. While at Aparri young Gray published the Aparri News, an army paper, which attracted considerable attention.

M'LAURIN GETS A HORSE

Sent by South Carolina Friends...To Name Him McKinley.

Washington, March 14.-A horse which was presented to Senator Mc-Laurin by some of his South Carolina admirers, arrived in Washington it is almost certain that it is the body this morning. The senator says he